1	Matthew Franklin Jaksa (CA State Bar No. 248072	
2	HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN LLP	
2	560 Mission Street, 25 <sup>th</sup> Floor	
3	San Francisco, CA 94105-2994	
	Telephone: (415) 268-2000	
4	Facsimile: (415) 268-1999	
5	Email: matt.jaksa@hro.com	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs, UMG RECORDINGS, INC.; ARISTA RECORDS	
7	LLC; ELEKTRA ENTERTAINMENT GROUP	
	INC.; CAPITOL RECORDS, INC.; LAFACE	
8	RECORDS LLC; SONY BMG MUSIC	
	ENTERTAINMENT; and MOTOWN RECORD	
9	COMPANY, L.P.	
10		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN JOSE	DIVISION
13		*E-Filed 3/19/08*
14	UMG RECORDINGS, INC., a Delaware	CASE NO. 5:07-CV-06033-RMW
1.5	corporation; ARISTA RECORDS LLC, a	
15	Delaware limited liability company; ELEKTRA	Honorable Ronald M. Whyte
16	ENTERTAINMENT GROUP INC., a Delaware	, and the second
10	corporation; CAPITOL RECORDS, INC., a	EX PARTE APPLICATION TO EXTEND
17	Delaware corporation; LAFACE RECORDS	TIME TO EFFECTUATE SERVICE AND
	LLC, a Delaware limited liability company;	CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT
18	SONY BMG MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT, a	CONFERENCE AND [] ORDER
19	Delaware general partnership; and MOTOWN	
19		
20	RECORD COMPANY, L.P., a California	
	limited partnership,	
21	DI: CCC	
22	Plaintiffs,	
22		
23	V.	
	JOHN DOE,	
24	Defendant.	
	Boronduit.	
25		1
26		
ا ۵		
27		
28		
I	I and the second	

Ex Parte Application to Extend Time to Effectuate Service and Continue CMC and [] Order Case No. 5:07-cv-06033-RMW #36201 v1

Pursuant to Rules 4(m) and 6(b)(1)(A) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs respectfully request an additional 90 days – until June 26, 2008 – to serve Defendant with the Summons and Complaint. Plaintiffs further request that the Court continue the case management conference currently set for March 28, 2008, at 10:30 a.m., to July 25, 2008. As further explained below, Plaintiffs believe they have determined the identity of the Doe defendant in this case, and the parties are engaged in settlement negotiations. If negotiations fail, Plaintiffs intend to file a First Amended Complaint naming Defendant personally, and then proceed to serve process upon her:

- 1. The current deadline for service of process is March 28, 2008. The initial case management conference is set for March 28, 2008. No previous continuances or extensions have been requested or granted in this case.
- 2. Plaintiffs filed their Complaint for Copyright Infringement against Defendant John Doe on November 29, 2007. Plaintiffs did not have sufficient identifying information to name Defendant in the Complaint, but were able to identify Defendant by the Internet Protocol address assigned to Defendant by Defendant's Internet Service Provider ("ISP") in this case, the University of California-Berkeley. In order to determine the true name and identity of the Doe defendant, Plaintiffs filed their *Ex Parte* Application for Leave to Take Immediate Discovery on November 29, 2007, requesting that the Court enter an Order allowing Plaintiffs to serve a Rule 45 subpoena on the ISP.
- 3. The Court has not yet ruled on Plaintiffs' *Ex Parte* Application for Leave to Take Immediate Discovery. However, Plaintiffs have since been contacted by an attorney acting on behalf of the Doe defendant (who apparently was notified of this action by the ISP as a result of prelitigation notices that Plaintiffs send to ISPs). Because the Doe defendant has come forward and identified herself to Plaintiffs, the relief sought in Plaintiffs' *Ex Parte* Application for Leave to Take Immediate Discovery is no longer needed. Accordingly, along with this Application, Plaintiffs have filed a Notice of Withdrawal of their *Ex Parte* Application for Leave to Take Immediate Discovery.
- 4. Settlement negotiations are ongoing. If the parties reach a settlement, Plaintiffs will promptly file appropriate dispositional documents. If negotiations fail, Plaintiffs intend to file a First Amended Complaint naming Defendant personally, and then proceed to serve process upon her.

- 5. Given the circumstances of this case, Plaintiffs respectfully request an additional 90 days – until June 26, 2008 – to effectuate service. Plaintiffs further request that the case management conference be continued to July 25, 2008, or such other date as conveniences the Court.
- 6. Plaintiffs submit that their current efforts to settle the case without naming Defendant personally in the lawsuit constitute good cause under Rule 4(m) for an extension of time for service. See Matasareanu v. Williams, 183 F.R.D. 242, 245-46 (C.D. Cal. 1998) (stating good cause standard for service extensions). This Court has discretion to enlarge the time to serve even where there is no good cause shown. Henderson v. United States, 517 U.S. 654, 658 n. 5 (1996). Here, Plaintiffs have acted in good faith to try to settle this matter with Defendant without potentially damaging her credit by naming her in the suit as well as attempting to avoid the cost of further litigation for both parties. Moreover, unlike a traditional case in which the defendant is known by name at the time of filing and efforts to serve can begin immediately after filing the complaint, in this case Plaintiffs first had to determine the true identity of the Doe defendant.
- 7. Because the copyright infringements here occurred in 2007, the three-year limitations period for these claims has not expired. See 17 U.S.C. § 507(b) (2000). There can thus be no prejudice to the Defendant from any delay in serving the Complaint.

By: \_\_\_/s/ Matthew Franklin Jaksa\_

Attorney for Plaintiffs

MATTHEW FRANKLIN JAKSA

RECORDS LLC; ELEKTRA

RECORD COMPANY, L.P.

UMG RECORDINGS, INC.; ARISTA

RECORDS LLC; SONY BMG MUSIC **ENTERTAINMENT**; and MOTOWN

ENTERTAINMENT GROUP INC.; CAPITOL RECORDS, INC.: LAFACE

8. Plaintiffs will provide the Defendant with a copy of this request and any Order concerning this request when service of process occurs.

Dated: March 17, 2008 **HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN LLP** 

28

1	[] ORDER
2	Good cause having been shown:
3	IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rules 4(m) and 6(b)(1),
4	that Plaintiffs' time to serve the Summons and Complaint on Defendant be extended to June 26,
5	2008.
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the case management conference currently set for March
7	28, 2008, at 10:30 a.m. be continued to July 25, 2008 before the undersigned.
8	
9	
10	Dated:3/19/08 By:By:
11	Honorable Ronald M. Whyte United States District Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28